REMARKS

In response to the final Office Action dated March 14, 2008, Applicant submits the following response and amendment, initially due 16, 2008 (June 14 and 15 fall on weekend). Applicant has amended claims 1 and 43 to clarify that that the offering entity is not the promoter and that the promoter is not a financial card merchant, as fully supported by the present specification. Thus, claims 1, 2-6, 12-14, 20 and 43-50 are pending in this application.

The Examiner has withdrawn the rejections and objections to the specification and claims under 35 USC sections 101 and 112.

In the only remaining rejection, as presented on pages 3-7 of the final Office Action, the Examiner rejects all of pending claims 1, 2, 4-6, 14, 15, 20 and 43-50 as unpatentable under 35 USC section 103(a) over Manchester (US 2003/0204470) citing Manchester at: page 1, p.0009; page 2, p. 0017; page 1, paragraph 0012 to page 2, paragraph 0024; in view of Postrel (US 2005/0021400), page 8, para. 0081; page 3, para. 0032 and page 6, para. 0066; page 2, paragraphs 021-0022; 0029.

In the final office action at the top of page 7, the Examiner states that:

"Manchester discloses a bank issuing a dual purpose credit card (i.e. the bank is the offering entity) and providing promotional material along with the credit card statements pertaining to the merchant (i.e., promoter) who is the "private label" part of the credit card. Thus the servicing entity is the bank and the promoter is the merchant."

Applicant has clarified the claimed invention to point out that the promoter is not the offering entity and the promoter is not a financial card merchant, which features of the present claims distinguish Manchester and Manchester in view of Postel.

7

Manchester (2003) citations:

The dual credit card system is in two parts: a) the creation of a dual credit card and b) the usage of a dual credit card. The creation begins with the receipt of an application by the merchant for a dual credit card. The issuing organization determines the interest rate and the credit line and issues the dual credit card to applicant. This is a combined private label and bankcard product with one interest rate and one credit line. That credit line will have a portion which is available only at the merchant's location. The issuer will determine that single credit line and the interest rate. [page 1, p. 9]

FIG. 1 illustrates the flow diagram of a customer's acquisition of a dual credit card 10. A prospective credit card customer applies for the dual credit card 12 at a merchant issuing/sponsoring a private label credit card. The dual card will be a bankcard branded with the merchant's name and will appear like a bankcard such as (VISA®, MasterCard®, DISCOVER® or other "general purpose" credit cards). The applicant provides personal, credit and employment information to the dual credit card issuer. Based upon the information supplied, the financial institution issuing the bankcard assigns a credit limit and/or an interest rate 14 and issues the credit card 16 to the applicant. A key element is that the dual credit card issuer is a cooperating financial institution that is issuing the dual credit card as a bankcard for non-merchant sales and a private label credit card for in-merchant sales. [page 2, p. 17]

Since the bankcard back office operation is processing both private-label purchases and bankcard purchases for the dual card user, cardholder statements may include merchant promotion material as well as normal operational customer service matters for both the merchant channel as well as the bankcard channel. The customer service functions may include normal customer service matters as well as collections and settlement issues. [page 1, p. 12]

The advantages of such a dual credit card system for the private label merchant is that the customers have one card that can be used in two ways. <u>One way as a private label</u>

credit card that provides the private label credit card merchant with a means to provide marketing information to his customers and to ensure that a portion of the credit line is protected for in store purchases. The second way is the use of a recognized brand name card with a potential for lower credit card interchange than a standard bankcard or charge card. The promotional capabilities of a dual credit card are useful to both the merchant and the consumer. [page 2, p. 24]

Manchester at best teaches or suggests where the promoter is the offering entity as the merchant and the bank card institution provides the financial card that can be used as either a traditional bank card or as a merchant credit card for purchases from the merchant, and where bank card institution can provide merchant/promoter advertising materials with the bank card statements. However, the present claims require that promoter not be the offering entity and the promoter is not a financial card merchant, which are both required features of Manchester.

Accordingly, Manchester thus fails to teach or suggest the present claims that exclude where the promoter is the offering entity and where the promoter is a financial card merchant.

Thus, Manchester fails to teach or suggest the presently claimed method or system.

Postrel (2005) Citations:

The trading server may also be contacted in response to a button or hyperlink located on a web page accessible by the user from the airline reward server (FIG. 10, steps 1000 and 1002). The process may continue to that described with respect to FIG. 6 for trading points into a reward exchange account (step 1004). In a similar manner, hyperlinks or calling routines may allow a user to access a reservations system or merchant from the trading server. The link would direct the user to partner or associated air carriers where the points in a user exchange account on the trading server 20 may be used to acquire hotel accommodations, special offers, reduced fare or free flights. [page 8, p. 81]

9

A default account may be assigned so that when a user presents the smart card to a POS terminal, that default account is used unless another is specified. The default account to be used (e.g. CITIBANK VISA) may depend on the purchase involved, or upon the merchant with which the card is being used. For example, the card may be programmed to use the CITIBANK VISA application 1106 whenever the user presents the card at a supermarket, but the card may be programmed to switch to the AMERICAN EXPRESS application 1108 when the card is presented at a gas station. These default scenarios may be stored on the card in the user profile 1104, which may be changed by a user as described further herein. Of course, these defaults may be overridden by the user if so desired at the point of sale. [page 3, p. 32]

A user profile may be stored in the smart card. The user profile would contain various information regarding the user, including but not limited to any or all of the following types of information: name, address, social security number, age, gender, income, demographics, psychographics, biometrics, names of various rewards accounts, passwords, prior purchase history including details of transactions executed, and preferences. Preferences may indicate which accounts on the smart card that the user would prefer to utilize in certain situations as mentioned above; e.g. use the VISA application at supermarkets but use the AMERICAN EXPRESS application at gasoline stations. Preferences may also indicate how the user would like to utilize reward accounts; e.g. he would prefer to pay for an item with 50% points and 50% credit, or he would prefer to pay for business expenses with credit only and personal expenses with points only, etc. These user preferences may be modified and accessed by the user when the smart card is inserted into an appropriate reader or terminal as known in the art. These user preferences may also be utilized by the various applications stored in and executed by the smart card as required. For example, when the user swipes the smart card to pay for gasoline, an the purchase application may check the user profile to determine (1) which account to use unless otherwise specified, (2) how to pay for the item, e.g. with points and/or credit, etc. [page 6, p. 66]

Application No. 10/613392

Art Unit: 3622

Response and Amendment

Postrel thus discloses a user profiled credit/miles card that allows a user to define how purchases

are designated to be used by alternative credit cards or to be made using miles and/or the

corresponding credit card.

Manchester in combination with Postrel also does not suggest the presently claimed invention

that provides a method and system for generating product or service account applications from a

promoter for an offering entity in exchange for advertisements by the promoter, where the

promoter is not the offering entity and where the promoter and offering entity are not the same.

Accordingly, Manchester, alone or in combination with Postrel, fails to teach or suggest the

presently claimed method or system.

Reconsideration and withdrawal of this rejection is requested.

Applicant respectfully requests entry of this amendment, and allowance of the present

application.

Respectfully submitted,

Ronald J. Rosenberge

Applicant

Date: June 14, 2008

11